

Optimising breeding herd performance for profitable production

A simple literature search will show that much has been written about the importance of optimising the sow unit and often the topic relates to best practice management and feeding. The performance of the breeding herd is an integral part of profitable production.

by Lena Raff,
Product Manager,
Chr. Hansen.
www.chr-hansen.com

Maintaining or optimising the sow unit does require an ongoing focus on proper management, correct nutrition and a willingness to try new and different solutions when needed.

When considering the different phases of the breeding cycle, it is obvious that each phase has different nutritional requirements and objectives. The correct integration of gilts can have a lifetime effect on subsequent performance.

Gestating sow diets influence foetus development and growth,

whereas lactation diets focus on milk production and maintenance of body condition. The commonly recorded parameters, such as number born alive, still born, pre-wean mortality and sow body condition, are all influenced by the above. Feed additives, such as probiotics, can also play a part.

Probiotics – mode of action

The feed additive market is growing, and it is often seen that feed additives are used in sow diets.

Probiotics are one of these additives and many probiotic products consist of *Bacillus* spp. These bacteria excrete enzymes into the surrounding environment as part of their lifecycle. These enzymes can degrade fibres and protein in the gastro-intestinal tract (GIT) to small molecules which are transported into the bacterial cell.

This break down of nutrients by the bacteria is similarly beneficial for the pig as more nutrients will be available for it to use.

However, the level of enzymes excreted differs among *Bacillus* strains. Not all strains are capable of

Fig. 1. Xylanase activity secreted by different *Bacillus* spp. strains.





Fig. 2. Effect of feeding *Bacillus licheniformis* to lactating sows and shedding of *Clostridium perfringens* in faecal matter of sows. Results from a study including 5,000 sows.

excreting enzymes to a level where the host animal can benefit from it. Fig. 1 shows variability of the activity of xylanase secreted by various *Bacillus* strains.

Furthermore, probiotics can help to prevent GIT disorders in pigs caused by pathogens and improve the performance of the animal.

This is possible as the epithelial surface of the intestines and the content in the lumen of the GIT is affected by various mechanisms of probiotics.

It has been shown that probiotics stimulate both the innate and the acquired immune functions.

The suppression of enteric pathogenic growth will enhance the functionality of the GIT of the sow. Probiotic supplementation will also result in more lactic acid bacteria and fewer harmful bacteria shed through her faeces into, for example, the farrowing pen. This will lead to a more favourable environment for the suckling piglets, helping them to get a better start in life.

Fig. 2 shows the effect of feeding *Bacillus licheniformis* (DSM5749) to sows and the shedding of *Clostridium perfringens* in the faecal matter.

What is shown is that the addition of *Bacillus licheniformis* (DSM5749) to the lactation feed lowered the shedding of clostridia which reduced the prevalence of clostridial related diarrhoea among the piglets in the

farrowing pen. This result might look different if another *Bacillus licheniformis* strain or probiotic strains were chosen.

Not all *Bacillus licheniformis* bacterial strains will behave in the same way, so selecting the right strains really does matter.

When feeding spore-forming *Bacilli* to sows, the bacteria germinate in the GIT, multiply and form further spores, which can then be found in the faeces of the sows. As the suckling piglets perform their natural rooting behaviour they can ingest some of these spores, thus supporting the development of their own gut microbiota and performance.

Microbiota

Today, much research is performed to try to understand and map the microbiota in the pig gut and to determine what a healthy microbiota looks like.

It is said that the microbiota is fully developed when the piglet is about 10 weeks of age and it is known that the composition as well as the size of the microbial community differs between the different compartments of the digestive tract.

The microbiota is, however, not static. It will change and fluctuate

Continued on page 12

Continued from page 11
over time especially during its
development.

Probiotics can help stabilise the
microbiota in the gut making a more
diversified bacterial community and,
just like excretion of exoenzymes,
the degree to which probiotics
consisting of *Bacillus* spp. do this
will vary between bacterial strains.

But what does it really mean to
have a stable, healthy gut? First, it
means that the gut is balanced in
terms of pH and the microbiota
consists of beneficial bacteria, so
pathogens are kept out.

Looking at the pig from the
outside it can be difficult to see
when the gut is completely in
balance. It is easier to see when it is
not, for example diarrhoea and
reduced performance will occur.

This goes especially for piglets in
the farrowing pen and around
weaning but, as Fig. 2 shows, it can
also have an impact on the
pathogens shed by the sow.

Sow performance

Going back to the beginning of this
article to look at sow performance
and how to optimise this, a solution
could be *Bacillus*-based probiotics as
these have been found to have a
positive effect.

Studies performed with a Bioplus
dual strain probiotic consisting of
Bacillus subtilis (DSM 5750) and
Bacillus licheniformis (DSM 5749)
fed to sows has been shown to have
a positive effect on the performance
of sows and her offspring.

As shown in Table 1, sow
performance in terms of return to
oestrus and number of non-
productive days were lowered by
adding these two strains to her diet.

	Probiotic *	Control
Return to oestrus (%) **	3	4
Non-productive days (No.) **	10	9
Stillborn piglets (No.) ***	1.3	1
Pre-weaning mortality (%) ***	14	10

* *Bacillus subtilis* (DSM 5750) and *Bacillus licheniformis* (DSM 5749)

** Results from a meta-analysis including 12,907 sows

*** Results from a study with 274 sows

Table 1. Effect on sow performance and performance of suckling piglets when *Bacillus subtilis* (DSM 5750) and *Bacillus licheniformis* (DSM 5749) were added to the sow diet.

Also shown in the table is the
performance of the suckling piglets.

In addition, the number of stillborn
piglets was lowered when these
strains were fed and the pre-weaning
mortality was reduced from 14% to
10%.

The suppression of enteric
pathogenic growth will enhance the
functionality of the GIT of the sow.
Probiotic supplementation will also
result in more lactic acid bacteria

and fewer harmful bacteria shed
through her faeces into, for example,
the farrowing pen.

This will lead to a more favourable
environment for the suckling piglets,
helping them to get a better start in
life.


Fig. 2 shows the effect of feeding
Bacillus licheniformis (DSM5749) to
sows and the shedding of
Clostridium perfringens in the faecal
matter.

What is shown is that the addition
of *Bacillus licheniformis* (DSM5749)
to the lactation feed lowered the
shedding of clostridia, which
reduced the prevalence of
clostridial-related diarrhoea among
the piglets in the farrowing pen

A reason for these improvements
could be that feeding the strains to
sows has been shown to increase her
feeding curve after farrowing
compared to sows not fed the
strains (Fig. 3). Getting her up in feed
level fast after farrowing will have a
positive effect on her milk
production.

Sows fed *Bacillus subtilis* (DSM
5750) and *Bacillus licheniformis*
(DSM 5749) have been shown to
wean heavier piglets compared to
sows not fed these strains. Having
this in mind, together with improved
performance of the suckling piglets,
it can be concluded that feeding
these strains to sows can help
facilitate weaning as it is generally
recognised that a piglet doing well
before weaning is more likely to do
well after weaning. ■

Fig. 3. Feed intake in lactating sows fed *Bacillus subtilis* (DSM 5750) and *Bacillus licheniformis* (DSM 5749).



Technical Systems
MARKET LEADER IN
FEED CONVEYING

**THE WORLD'S STRONGEST AND
TOUGHEST CHAIN FOR 25 YEARS!**

Web: www.technicalsys.com, E-mail: info@technicalsys.com, Tel: +27 21 949 9191, Cape Town, South Africa